UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

| ·X |
|--------|
| |

In re : Chapter 9

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, : Case No. 13-53846

Debtor. : Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

:

. -----X

> OBJECTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 502(b) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1, TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 2923 FILED BY VINCENT ELLIS, NEXT FRIEND LAILA ELLIS

The City of Detroit (the "City") hereby: (a) objects, pursuant to sections 105 and 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules") and Rule 3007-1 of the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Michigan (the "Local Rules") to proof of claim number 2923 (the "Claim") filed by Vincent Ellis, next friend Laila Ellis (the "Claimant") because the City has no liability to the Claimant on account of the Claim; and (b) seeks the entry of an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1 (the "Proposed Order"), disallowing and expunging the Claim. A copy

of the Claim is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 2</u>. In support of this Objection, the City respectfully represents as follows:

General Background

- 1. On July 18, 2013, the City filed a petition for relief in this Court, thereby commencing the largest chapter 9 case in history.
- 2. As of June 30, 2013 the end of the City's 2013 fiscal year the City's liabilities exceeded \$18 billion (including, among other things, general obligation and special revenue bonds, unfunded actuarially accrued pension and other postemployment benefit liabilities, pension obligation certificate liabilities and related derivative liabilities). As of June 30, 2013, the City's accumulated unrestricted general fund deficit was approximately \$237 million.
- 3. In February 2013, a state review team determined that a local government financial emergency exists in the City. Thereafter, in March 2013, Kevyn D. Orr was appointed, and now serves as, emergency manager with respect to the City (in such capacity, the "Emergency Manager") under Public Act 436 of 2012, the Local Financial Stability and Choice Act, MCL § 141.1541, et seq. ("PA 436"). Under Section 18(1) of PA 436, the Emergency Manager acts exclusively on behalf of the City in this chapter 9 case. MCL § 141.1558.
- 4. On May 5, 2014, the City filed the Fourth Amended Plan for the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit (Docket No. 4392) (as it may be

ATI-2604524v1 -2-

further amended, modified or supplemented from time to time, the "Plan") and the Fourth Amended Disclosure Statement with Respect to Fourth Amended Plan for the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit (Docket No. 4391) (the "Disclosure Statement"). That same day, the Court entered the Order Approving the Proposed Disclosure Statement (Docket No. 4401), thereby approving the Disclosure Statement as containing "adequate information" with respect to the Plan, pursuant to section 1125(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Background Regarding the Claims Process

- 5. On November 21, 2013, the Court entered the Order, Pursuant to Sections 105, 501 and 503 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3003(c), Establishing Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim and Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof (Docket No. 1782) (the "Bar Date Order"). The Bar Date Order established February 21, 2014 at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time, as the general deadline for the filing of proofs of claim in the City's chapter 9 case.
- 6. On December 24, 2013, the Court entered the Order, Pursuant to Sections 105 and 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Approving Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures to Promote the Liquidation of Certain Prepetition Claims (Docket No. 2302) (the "ADR Order"). The ADR Order established procedures (the "ADR Procedures") for the liquidation of certain prepetition claims (collectively, the "Designated Claims"). In addition, the ADR Order provisionally

ATI-2604524v1 -3-

identified certain claims (collectively, the "Initial Designated Claims") as

Designated Claims and, therefore, subject to the ADR Procedures. The Initial

Designated Claims consist of: (a) personal injury tort or wrongful death claims,

(b) property damage claims; and (c) claims, to the extent not satisfied in the

ordinary course, relating to the operation of motor vehicles for which the City is

self-insured pursuant to chapter 31 of Michigan's Insurance Code of 1956, M.C.L.

§§ 500.3101, et seq.

Relief Requested

7. Pursuant to sections 105 and 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code,
Bankruptcy Rule 3007 and Local Rule 3007-1, the City seeks the entry of an order,
disallowing and expunging the Claim because the Claim asserts alleged liabilities
for which the City is not responsible as a matter of law.

The Court's Jurisdiction to Disallow and Expunge the Claim

- 8. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to section 1334 of title 28 of the United States Code (the "Judicial Code"). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to sections 1408 and 1409 of the Judicial Code.
- 9. Section 157(b) of the Judicial Code sets forth various "core proceedings" with respect to which bankruptcy courts are authorized to enter final orders, subject only to appellate review under section 158 of the Judicial Code.

 See 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(1) ("Bankruptcy judges may hear and determine all cases

ATI-2604524v1 -4-

under title 11 and all core proceedings arising under title 11, or arising in a case under title 11, referred under subsection (a) of this section, and may enter appropriate orders and judgments, subject to review under section 158 of this title.").

10. Section 157(b)(2) of the Judicial Code provides a nonexclusive list of core proceedings, including proceedings for the "allowance or disallowance of claims," but excepts from such proceedings "the liquidation or estimation of contingent or unliquidated personal injury tort or wrongful death claims against the estate for purposes of distribution in a case under title 11" (any such claim,

allowance or disallowance of claims against the estate or exemptions from property of the estate, and estimation of claims or interests for the purposes of confirming a plan under chapter 11, 12, or 13 of title 11 but not the liquidation or estimation of contingent or unliquidated personal injury tort or wrongful death claims against the estate for purposes of distribution in a case under title 11....

28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B). As a technical matter, therefore, section 157(b) of the Judicial Code does not provide that proceedings relating to the allowance or disallowance of claims against a chapter 9 debtor constitute core proceedings because there is no estate in chapter 9. See 11 U.S.C. § 901 (not incorporating into chapter 9 practice section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code, which provides for the creation of the estate). Nevertheless, the allowance and disallowance of claims is equally central to the bankruptcy process in chapter 9, and the enumerated list of core proceedings in section 157 of the Judicial Code is expressly nonexclusive.

ATI-2604524v1

With respect to the allowance and disallowance of claims, section 157(b)(2)(B) of the Judicial Code provides that core proceedings include proceedings for the:

a "Tort/Wrongful Death Claim"). 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B); see also 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(O) (providing that "other proceedings affecting the liquidation of the assets of the estate or the adjustment of the debtor-creditor or the equity security holder relationship" constitute core proceedings "except personal injury tort or wrongful death claims").

- 11. The Judicial Code further provides that Tort/Wrongful Death Claims "be tried in the district court in which the bankruptcy case is pending, or in the district court in the district in which the claim arose, as determined by the district court in which the bankruptcy case is pending." 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5).
- Tort/Wrongful Death claims for the purpose of liquidating or estimating the claims be conducted in the appropriate district court. Courts consistently hold, however, that threshold challenges to the validity of Tort/Wrongful Death Claims may be adjudicated on a final basis by bankruptcy courts. See, e.g., In re Chateaugay Corp., 111 B.R. 67, 73-74 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1990) (where debtors filed objections to numerous Personal Injury Claims on grounds that such claims were (a) asserted against the wrong defendants and (b) barred by the "government contractor defense," holding that the bankruptcy court had jurisdiction to resolve the objections because "[a]lthough [section] 157(b)(2)(B) restricts a bankruptcy court's power to liquidate or estimate personal injury tort or wrongful death claims for

ATI-2604524v1 -6-

purposes of distribution, it imposes no corollary restriction upon a bankruptcy court's ability to disallow such claims in the first instance if they are not sustainable at law") (emphasis added), aff'd in relevant part and rev'd on other grounds, 146 B.R. 339 (S.D.N.Y. 1992); In re Dow Corning Corp., 215 B.R. 346, 352 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1997) (where the debtor (a) objected to certain Personal Injury Claims on grounds that the claimants could not scientifically prove that the claimants' injuries were caused by the debtor's products and (b) moved for summary judgment seeking disallowance of all similar claims, applying Chateaugay in holding that "a bankruptcy court may enter a final order on a motion for summary judgment disallowing a personal injury claim without running afoul of the 'but not the liquidation' clause of [section] 157(b)(2)(B)"), modified, 215 B.R. 526 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1997) (recommending withdrawal of reference for purposes of judicial economy); In re UAL Corp., 310 B.R. 373, 383 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 2004) (relying upon <u>Dow Corning</u>, among other cases, in holding that the bankruptcy court had jurisdiction to sustain debtors' objection seeking disallowance of a personal injury claim; "[A]n objection to the legal validity of a personal injury tort claim does not fall within the personal injury exception to the core bankruptcy jurisdiction conferred by [section] 157(b)(2)(B), and this court may enter a final order dealing with the debtors' pending objection to the [claimants'] claim.").

ATI-2604524v1 -7-

13. The City believes that the Claim may be a Tort/Wrongful Death Claim within the meaning of section 157 of the Judicial Code. The City has reviewed the Claim, however, and has determined that threshold infirmities render the Claim invalid against the City as a matter of law. Specifically, as more fully discussed below, the Claim asserts alleged liabilities against one or more entities for which the City is not legally or financially responsible. Accordingly, this proceeding is a core proceeding, and the Court is authorized to enter a final order disallowing and expunging the Claim.

Request to Disallow the Claim

14. Pursuant to section 101 of the Bankruptcy Code, a creditor holds a claim against a debtor only to the extent that it has a "right to payment" for the asserted liability. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 101(5), 101(10).² By contrast, there is no right to payment — and therefore no claim — to the extent that the asserted liability is not due and owing by a debtor. Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code further provides that a claim asserted in a proof of claim shall be allowed,

ATI-2604524v1 -8-

Section 101(10) of the Bankruptcy Code defines a "creditor" in pertinent part as "an entity that has a claim against the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 101(10). Section 101(5) in turn defines a "claim" as a "right to payment" or "the right to an equitable remedy for breach of performance if such breach gives rise to a right for payment." 11 U.S.C. § 101(5).

except to the extent "such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law." 11 U.S.C. §502(b)(1).³

Claim is not a valid liability of the City. The Claim asserts certain "personal injury" liabilities in the amount of \$10,000,000 against Detroit Public Schools ("DPS"). See Claim, at 1. DPS is a separate and distinct legal entity from the City, and, as such, the City is not responsible for the alleged liabilities of DPS asserted in the Claim.

The board of education is not a part of the city of Detroit. It is a separate legal entity 'The board of education, though existing for purposes strictly public, is nevertheless a distinct corporation from the city, having its distinct property and funds, and entitled to demand for them the same protection which may be demanded by other corporations or individuals.

Herzog v City, 142 N.W.2d 672, 678 (Mich. 1966) (Adams, J. concurring in part); see also Attorney General v Thompson, 134 N.W. 722, 727 (Mich. 1912) (holding that the liabilities of Detroit's school system are not liabilities of the City).

16. In addition, nothing in the Claim suggests any liability by or on behalf of the City, and the City is not otherwise aware of any such potential liability to the Claimant. The City therefore has determined that the Claimant

Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code is made applicable in the City's chapter 9 case by section 901 of the Bankruptcy Code. See 11 U.S.C. § 901.

possesses no right to payment on account of the Claim, and the Claim should be disallowed.

Reservation of Rights

17. The City files this Objection without prejudice to or waiver of its rights pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, and nothing herein is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

Notice

18. Notice of this Objection has been given to the Claimant and all parties that have requested notice in this case pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002.

The City submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

No Prior Request

19. No previous request for the relief requested herein has been made to this or any other court.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully requests that the Court: (a) enter the Proposed Order granting the relief requested herein and (b) grant such other and further relief to the City as the Court may deem proper.

ATI-2604524v1 -10-

Dated: May 15, 2014 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Heather Lennox
David G. Heiman (OH 0038271)
Heather Lennox (OH 0059649)
Thomas A. Wilson (OH 0077047)
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Telephone: (216) 586-3939
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212
dgheiman@jonesday.com
hlennox@jonesday.com
tawilson@jonesday.com

Bruce Bennett (CA 105430)
JONES DAY
555 South Flower Street
Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 243-2382
Facsimile: (213) 243-2539
bbennett@jonesday.com

John A. Simon (P61866)
Tamar N. Dolcourt (P73425)
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2700
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 234-7100
Facsimile: (313) 234-2800
jsimon@foley.com
tdolcourt@foley.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Eastern District of Michigan

In re:

Chapter: 9

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,

Case No.: 13-53846

Debtor. Judge: Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

Address: 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 1126

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Last four digits of Social Security or

Employer's Tax Identification (EIN) No(s).(if any): 38-6004606

NOTICE OF OBJECTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 502(b) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1, TO PROOF OF CLAIM NUMBER 2923 FILED BY VINCENT ELLIS, NEXT FRIEND LAILA ELLIS

The City of Detroit (the "<u>City</u>") has filed an objection to your claim in this bankruptcy case.

Your claim may be reduced, modified, or denied. You should read these papers carefully and discuss them with your attorney, if you have one.

If you do not want the Court to deny or change your claim, then on or before June 18, 2014, you or your lawyer must:

1. File with the Court a written response to the objection, explaining your position, at:

United States Bankruptcy Court

United States Bankruptcy Court 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2100 Detroit, Michigan 48226

If you mail your response to the Court for filing, you must mail it early enough so that the Court will **receive** it on or before the date stated above. All attorneys are required to file pleadings electronically.

You must also mail a copy to:

David G. Heiman, Esq.
Heather Lennox, Esq.
Thomas A. Wilson, Esq.
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Telephone: (216) 586-3939
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212

Bruce Bennett, Esq.
JONES DAY
555 South Flower Street
Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 243-2382
Facsimile: (213) 243-2539

John A. Simon, Esq.
Tamar N. Dolcourt, Esq.
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2700
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 234-7100
Facsimile: (313) 234-2800

2. Attend the hearing on the objection, scheduled to be held on June 25, 2014, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 100, Theodore Levin U.S. Courthouse, 231 W. Lafayette, Detroit, Michigan 48226, unless your attendance is excused by mutual agreement between yourself and counsel for the City. (Unless the matter is disposed of summarily as a matter of law, the hearing shall be a pre-trial conference only; neither testimony nor other evidence will be received. A pre trial scheduling order may be issued as a result of the pre-trial conference.)

If you or your attorney do not take these steps, the Court may deem that you do not oppose the objection to your claim, in which event the hearing will be canceled, and the objection sustained.

ATI-2604524v1 -2-

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 15, 2014

/s/ Heather Lennox

David G. Heiman (OH 0038271)
Heather Lennox (OH 0059649)
Thomas A. Wilson (OH 0077047)
JONES DAY
North Point
901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
Telephone: (216) 586-3939
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212
dgheiman@jonesday.com
hlennox@jonesday.com
tawilson@jonesday.com

Bruce Bennett (CA 105430)
JONES DAY
555 South Flower Street
Fiftieth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 243-2382
Facsimile: (213) 243-2539
bbennett@jonesday.com

John A. Simon (P61866)
Tamar N. Dolcourt (P73425)
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2700
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 234-7100
Facsimile: (313) 234-2800
jsimon@foley.com
tdolcourt@foley.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY

ATI-2604524v1 -3-

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re : Chapter 9 :

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, : Case No. 13-53846

Debtor. : Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

:

. -----X

ORDER DISALLOWING AND EXPUNGING CLAIM NUMBER 2923 FILED BY VINCENT ELLIS, NEXT FRIEND LAILA ELLIS

This matter coming before the Court on the Objection of the City of Detroit, Pursuant to Sections 105 and 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 and Local Rule 3007-1, to Proof of Claim Number 2923 Filed by Vincent Ellis, Next Friend Laila Ellis (the "Objection"), filed by the City of Detroit (the "City"); the Court having reviewed the Objection and having heard the statements of counsel regarding the relief requested in the Objection at a hearing before the Court (the "Hearing"); the Court finding that (a) the Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, (b) this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2) and (c) notice of the Objection

 $^{ATI-2604524v1}$ 13-53846-tjt Doc 4851 Filed 05/15/14 Entered 05/15/14 15:11:01 Page 16 of 21

Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings given to them in the Objection.

and the Hearing was sufficient under the circumstances and in full compliance with the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules and the Local Rules; and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection and at the Hearing establish just cause for the relief granted herein;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Objection is SUSTAINED.
- 2. Pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Claim is disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
- 3. The City, the City's claims and noticing agent and the Clerk of this Court are authorized to take any and all actions that are necessary or appropriate to give effect to this Order.

ATI-2604524v1 -2-

EXHIBIT 2

| | CY COURT EASTERN DISTRIC | CT OF MICHIGAN | PROOF OF CLAIM |
|--|--|--|--|
| Name of Debtor: | | Case Number: | |
| City of Detroit Public Schools | | 13-53846 | FILED |
| | laim for an administrative expense that arises went of an administrative expense according to | | FEB 2 1 2014 |
| Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or property): Vincent Ellis, next friend Laila Ellis | | | US Bankruptcy Court (Check this box if this claim amends a |
| Name and address where notices should be sent: Fieger, Fieger, Kenney, Giroux & Harrington, P.C. 19390 West Ten Mile Road Southfield, MI 48075 | | | ☐ Check this box if this claim amends a previously filed claim. Court Claim Number: (If known) |
| Telephone number: (248) 355-5555 | email: g.felty@fiegerlaw.com | | Filed on: |
| Name and address where payment should | be sent (if different from above): | | ☐ Check this box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars. |
| Telephone number: | email: | | RECEIVED |
| 1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Fil | led: \$ 10,000,0 | 00.00 | www. 0 1 0041 |
| f all or part of the claim is secured, comp | lete item 4. | | FEB 2 4 2014 |
| fall or part of the claim is entitled to prio | rity, complete item 5. | | KURTZMÁN CARSON CONSULTANTS |
| Check this box if the claim includes interest. | erest or other charges in addition to the princip | oal amount of the claim. Atta | ach a statement that itemizes interest or charges. |
| 2. Basis for Claim: Personal Injury (See instruction #2) | | | |
| 3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor: | 3a. Debtor may have scheduled account as | 3b. Uniform Claim Id | entifier (optional): |
| 6 8 3 3 | (See instruction #3a) | (See instruction #3b) | and other charges, as of the time case was file |
| | secured by a lien on property or a right of | Amount of arrearage included in secured cl | and other charges, as of the time case was me aim, if any: |
| setoff, attach required redacted documents | | | S |
| Nature of property or right of setoff: C Describe: | □Real Estate □Motor Vehicle □Other | Basis for perfection: | |
| | | Amount of Secured C | laim: \$ |
| Value of Property: \$ | · | | |
| Annual Interest Rate% ☐Fixed (when case was filed) | | Amount Unsecured: | \$ |
| Annual Interest Rate% ☐ Fixed (when case was filed) | d or □Variable | | S |
| Annual Interest Rate% ①Fixed (when case was filed) 5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priorit | d or □Variable y under 11 U.S.C. § 507 (a). If any part of t | to \$12,475*) | |
| Annual Interest Rate% ☐ Fixed (when case was filed) 5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priorit the priority and state the amount. ☐ Domestic support obligations under 11 | y under 11 U.S.C. § 507 (a). If any part of to a wages, salaries, or commissions (up earned within 180 days before the case we debtor's business ceased, whichever is earl 1 U.S.C. § 507 (a)(4). Taxes or penalties owed to government 11 U.S.C. § 507 (a)(8). | to \$12,475*) | ibutions to an e benefit plan 2. § 507 (a)(5). |
| Annual Interest Rate % ☐ Fixed when case was filed) 5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priorit he priority and state the amount. ☐ Domestic support obligations under 11 J.S.C. § 507 (a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B). ☐ Up to \$2,775* of deposits toward burchase, lease, or rental of property or lervices for personal, family, or household use — 11 U.S.C. § 507 (a)(7). | y under 11 U.S.C. § 507 (a). If any part of to a wages, salaries, or commissions (up earned within 180 days before the case we debtor's business ceased, whichever is earl 1 U.S.C. § 507 (a)(4). Taxes or penalties owed to government 11 U.S.C. § 507 (a)(8). | to \$12,475*) To still do r the arlier — To the claim falls into one of the claim falls into one of the claim falls into one of the claim falls. Control employee arlier — To there applicable 11 U.S.C. | ibutions to an e benefit plan- 5. § 507 (a)(5). Amount entitled to priority \$ |

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13)

7. **Documents:** Attached are **redacted** copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in the case of a claim based on an open-end or revolving consumer credit agreement, a statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the claim is secured, box 4 has been completed, and **redacted** copies of documents providing evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. If the claim is secured by the debtor's principal residence, the Mortgage Proof of Claim Attachment is being filed with this claim. (See instruction #7, and the definition of "redacted".)

DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING.

If the documents are not available, please explain: Please refer to Addendum attached.

FEB 2 4 2014

KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS

8. Signature: (See instruction #8)

Check the appropriate box.

☐ I am the creditor. ☐ I am the creditor's authorized agent.

☐ I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent.
(See Bankruptcy Rule 3004.)

☐ I am a guarantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. (See Bankruptcy Rule 3005.)

 $\frac{\partial - \partial I - I \cdot Y}{\text{(Date)}}$

I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and reasonable belief.

Print Name: Gary J. Felty
Title: Attorney

Title: Attorney
Company: Fieger, Fieger, Kenney, Giroux & Harrington

Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above):

Telephone number: email

Penalty for presenting fraudulent claim: Fine of up to \$500,000 or imprisonment for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152 and 3571.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROOF OF CLAIM FORM

The instructions and definitions below are general explanations of the law. In certain circumstances, such as bankruptcy cases not filed voluntarily by the debtor, exceptions to these general rules may apply.

Items to be completed in Proof of Claim form

Court, Name of Debtor, and Case Number:

Fill in the federal judicial district in which the bankruptcy case was filed (for example, Central District of California), the debtor's full name, and the case number. If the creditor received a notice of the case from the bankruptcy court, all of this information is at the top of the notice.

Creditor's Name and Address:

Fill in the name of the person or entity asserting a claim and the name and address of the person who should receive notices issued during the bankruptcy case. A separate space is provided for the payment address if it differs from the notice address. The creditor has a continuing obligation to keep the court informed of its current address. See Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g).

1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed:

State the total amount owed to the creditor on the date of the bankruptcy filing. Follow the instructions concerning whether to complete items 4 and 5. Check the box if interest or other charges are included in the claim.

2. Basis for Claim:

State the type of debt or how it was incurred. Examples include goods sold, money loaned, services performed, personal injury/wrongful death, car loan, mortgage note, and credit card. If the claim is based on delivering health care goods or services, limit the disclosure of the goods or services so as to avoid embarrassment or the disclosure of confidential health care information. You may be required to provide additional disclosure if an interested party objects to the claim.

3. Last Four Digits of Any Number by Which Creditor Identifies Debtor: State only the last four digits of the debtor's account or other number used by the creditor to identify the debtor.

3a. Debtor May Have Scheduled Account As:

Report a change in the creditor's name, a transferred claim, or any other information that clarifies a difference between this proof of claim and the claim as scheduled by the debtor.

3b. Uniform Claim Identifier:

If you use a uniform claim identifier, you may report it here. A uniform claim identifier is an optional 24-character identifier that certain large creditors use to facilitate electronic payment in chapter 13 cases.

4. Secured Claim:

Check whether the claim is fully or partially secured. Skip this section if the

claim is entirely unsecured. (See Definitions.) If the claim is secured, check the box for the nature and value of property that secures the claim, attach copies of lien documentation, and state, as of the date of the bankruptcy filing, the annual interest rate (and whether it is fixed or variable), and the amount past due on the claim.

5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority Under 11 U.S.C. § 507 (a).

If any portion of the claim falls into any category shown, check the appropriate box(es) and state the amount entitled to priority. (See Definitions.) A claim may be partly priority and partly non-priority. For example, in some of the categories, the law limits the amount entitled to priority.

6. Credits

An authorized signature on this proof of claim serves as an acknowledgment that when calculating the amount of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the debt.

7. Documents:

Attach redacted copies of any documents that show the debt exists and a lien secures the debt. You must also attach copies of documents that evidence perfection of any security interest and documents required by FRBP 3001(c) for claims based on an open-end or revolving consumer credit agreement or secured by a security interest in the debtor's principal residence. You may also attach a summary in addition to the documents themselves. FRBP 3001(c) and (d). If the claim is based on delivering health care goods or services, limit disclosing confidential health care information. Do not send original documents, as attachments may be destroyed after scanning.

8. Date and Signature:

The individual completing this proof of claim must sign and date it. FRBP 9011. If the claim is filed electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules specifying what constitutes a signature. If you sign this form, you declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided is true and correct to the best of your knowledge, information, and reasonable belief. Your signature is also a certification that the claim meets the requirements of FRBP 9011(b). Whether the claim is filed electronically or in person, if your name is on the signature line, you are responsible for the declaration. Print the name and title, if any, of the creditor or other person authorized to file this claim. State the filer's address and telephone number if it differs from the address given on the top of the form for purposes of receiving notices. If the claim is filed by an authorized agent, provide both the name of the individual filing the claim and the name of the agent. If the authorized agent is a servicer, identify the corporate servicer as the company. Criminal penalties apply for making a false statement on a proof of claim.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Heather Lennox, hereby certify that the foregoing Objection of the City of Detroit, Pursuant to Sections 105 and 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 and Local Rule 3007-1, to Proof of Claim Number 2923 Filed by Vincent Ellis, Next Friend Laila Ellis was filed and served via the Court's electronic case filing and noticing system on this 15th day of May, 2014.

| 1 1 | TT /1 T | |
|------|-----------------|--|
| /8/ | Heather Lennox | |
| / 5/ | Treather Leimon | |